### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			RECEIVED					
To:	Ī	DOT	1 2 OCT 2004					
Bryn Aarflot AS	MIF	PCT	WIPO PCT					
Kongengt.15	l .	l TEN OPINIO						
N-0153 Oslo			ING AUTHORITY					
Norge		(PCT Rule 43b	ie 1)					
		(1 C1 1 and 150						
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	072	10- 2004					
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION							
109681	See paragraph 2 below							
,	late (day/month/year)	Priority date (a	lay/month/year)					
PCT/NO 2004/000205 02.07.2004		07.07.20	003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national class			<i>"</i>					
H01M 4/88, H01M 4/86, H01M 4/96								
Applicant REVOLT TECHNOLOGY AS et al								
KEVODI IECHNODOGI AD EC AI		<del></del>						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following	items:							
Box No. I Basis of the opinion								
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with r	egard to novelty, inventi-	ve step and indus	trial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention								
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Certain documents cited								
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international a	pplication							
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internati	onal application							
2. FURTHER ACTION								
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("TPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.								
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE	Authorized officer							
Patent- och registreringsverket								
Box 5055   S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Ulrika Nils							
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88	Telephone No. +46	8 782 25	00					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NO 2004/000205

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	in which i	rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language t was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  Is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 1(b)).
2.	a. type of	rd to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the avention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  f material  a sequence listing  table(s) related to the sequence listing  of material  in written format
		in computer readable form
		f filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	file	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been d or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to t in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additiona	il comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NO 2004/000205

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	1-22, 24 23, 25	YES NO
,	Inventive step (IS)	Claims Claims	1-22, 24 23, 25	YES NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-25	YES NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

The claimed invention relates to a method of manufacturing a gas diffusion electrode, an electrode manufactured by such a method, a gas diffusion electrode and to the use of the gas diffusion electrode.

The aim of the invention is to provide a process for the production of thin hydrophobic gas diffusion electrodes which is suitable for continuous production lines and which alleviates the problems related to prior art wet and dry preparation methods. For this purpose, the method and electrodes possess the features described in the characterizing part of claims 1, 23 and 24 respectively.

The following documents are cited in the International Search Report:

D1: US 3 457 113 A

D2: US 5 480 735 A

D3: US 6 020 083 A

D4: DE 2 161 373 A D5: JP 7 078 617 A

D6: JP 7 220 734 A

D7: JP 6 123 397 A

Claims 1-22, 24:

The invention defined in claims 1-22, 24 is not disclosed by any of these documents. The cited documents D1-D7 do not give any indication that would lead a person skilled in the

.../...

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NO 2004/000205

#### Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:  $Box\ V$ 

art to the claimed method, gas diffusion electrode or use of the same. Therefore, the claimed invention according to claims 1-22, 24 is not obvious to a person skilled in the art. It is also considered to be industrially applicable.

Claims 23, 25:

An independent claim should clearly specify all of the essential features needed to define the invention except in so far as such features are implied in generic terms used (PCT/GL/part II/chapter 5.33). The requirement that the claims shall be clear applies to individual claims and also to the claims as a whole (PCT/GL/part II/chapter 5.31).

Claim 23 in the application is not formulated in a clear and concise manner (PCT Article 6). The claim does not clarify that the electrode described, manufactured by a method according to any of claims 1-22, has properties that distinguish it from electrodes manufactured by other methods, such as the ones described in the documents above. It is not clarified that the product described in claim 23 can only be defined by the process steps according to claims 1-22 by which the product is made. The method described according to claims 1-22 does not give the electrode features which are included in claim 23, where these features distinguish the electrode from prior art.

Therefore, the electrode according to claim 23 and the field of application according to claim 25 lack novelty.